

Tier-2 Scoring Process

At the request of stakeholders, the following scoring process was followed:

- Each evaluator scored each application. The top score for each evaluator received 20 ranking points, the second highest scoring application received 19 points, and so forth till the least score received 1 ranking point.
- The ranking points from each reviewer were then totaled for each application to provide the final ranking points total for that application. The proposal with the greatest number of ranking points received the top ranking. The proposal with the lowest total ranking points received the lowest ranking.

TIER-2 EVALUATION PANEL RANKINGS AND CONSENSUS PANEL SUGGESTIONS

NM 1 st Project Name	Ranking Points	Evaluation Panel Comments
GILA CONSERVATION FUND	94	Cap initial funding at \$500,000 for a regional conservation program, but begin the program soon as possible. Reassess funding needs after two years (mid 2014).
GILA BASIN IRRIGATION COMMISSION (GBIC)	90	All diversion/storage projects should be more thoroughly and comprehensively assessed.
DEMING DIVERSION PROJECT	83	All diversion/storage projects should be more thoroughly and comprehensively assessed.
HIDALGO COUNTY OFF-STREAM STORAGE	82	All diversion/storage projects should be more thoroughly and comprehensively assessed.
PLEASANTON EASTSIDE DITCH/CONVEYANCE LOSS REDUCTION PROPOSAL	74	Use AWSA funds as up to 50% match for federal \$.
DEMING CONSERVATION FUND	61	Use AWSA funds as up to 50% match for federal \$.
GRANT COUNTY (AND PARTLY OVERLAPPED WITH BAYARD)	61	Investigate how best to combine Grant County, GCWC, and Bayard applications, then assess for feasibility. Use AWSA funds as up to 50% non-federal federal match.

DIVERSION AND STORAGE		
SUNSET AND NEW MODEL DITCHES	61	Use AWSA funds as up to 50% match for federal \$.
LUNA DITCH	53	Use AWSA funds as up to 50% match for federal \$.
STREAM DYNAMICS WATER HARVESTING	51	OSE policy is that projects must ensure water harvesting does not increase depletions, create a new water right, or change existing hydrograph.
BAYARD EFFLUENT REUSE	51	Investigate how best to combine Grant County, GCWC, and Bayard applications, then assess for feasibility. Use AWSA funds as up to 50% non-federal federal match.
GRANT SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT RESTORATION	50	Would need thorough, comprehensive assessment by independent experts before beginning.
WATERSHED RESTORATION AND MONITORING – NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	47	Would need thorough, comprehensive assessment by independent experts before beginning.
GRANT COUNTY WATER COMMISSION REGIONAL SUPPLY	44	Investigate how best to combine Grant County, GCWC, and Bayard applications, then assess for feasibility. Use AWSA funds as up to 50% non-federal federal match.
DEMING WATER REUSE	41	Good project, but scored low because smallest water savings. Use AWSA \$ as up to 50% non-federal match.
SAN FRANCISCO WATERSHED TREATMENT: MINERAL CREEK, DRY CREEK, AND DEVILS CREEK	32	Would need thorough, comprehensive assessment by independent experts before beginning.
NEW MEXICO FOREST INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION	32	Good proposal but small area. Incorporates ecotype not included in other watershed projects. Use as pilot.
GILA NATIONAL FOREST WATERSHED RESTORATION	21	Would need thorough, comprehensive assessment by independent experts before beginning.
GILA NATIONAL FOREST SAN FRANCISCO RIVER DIVERSION/DITCH	12	Just a study - don't assess further
COALITION DIVERSION-ROSGEN	10	Consider as part of GBIC proposal.

General Comment: The evaluation panel encourages the Commission to look for ways to utilize AWSA funding to leverage federal or other funding to maximize water benefits to the State.